

Criteria for review: Advances in CDIO

The Advances in CDIO track requires a full paper meeting criteria for **scholarliness** and **usefulness** for improving the CDIO initiative. In formulating the criteria below, the aim was to balance the aspects related to scholarliness and usefulness.

Overall relevance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the topic relevant, significant, interesting and timely for the advancement of CDIO Initiative as an organization?▪ Is it argued why it is an advancement of CDIO?
Literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the paper informed by relevant literature focusing on the same topic?▪ Is the paper informed by papers from previous CDIO conferences focusing on the same topic?▪ Is it put into good use here?
Aim or problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is it clear what the paper is trying to achieve, what problem it addresses?
Approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Does the paper adequately explain how the problem is approached and how the argument is built?▪ Are limitations critically discussed?
Conclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Do conclusions address the stated problem or aim?▪ Are the claims credibly supported?▪ Does the paper deliver a take-away message for the community?
Coherence and clarity	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the paper clearly and logically structured?▪ Do the parts contribute to the whole?▪ Can the reasoning be followed through the paper?▪ Are the APA guidelines and other formatting requirements followed?▪ Is the paper readable and language appropriate for the audience?